

The Great SVD Mystery

James H. Steiger

Department of Psychology and Human Development
Vanderbilt University

P312, 2012

The Great SVD Mystery

- 1 Introduction
- 2 The SVD
- 3 An Example
- 4 Non-Uniqueness of Eigenvectors

Introduction

- Earlier in the course, I warned you about how, over the years, people have occasionally gotten into trouble by ignoring various indeterminacies and ambiguities in seemingly well-established quantities.
- In Rencher's homework problem 2.23, and in the author's treatment of the Singular Value Decomposition (SVD), this kind of situation is illustrated beautifully, so I thought we'd digress, have some fun, and discover what went wrong in the author's treatment of the topic.

Introduction

- Earlier in the course, I warned you about how, over the years, people have occasionally gotten into trouble by ignoring various indeterminacies and ambiguities in seemingly well-established quantities.
- In Rencher's homework problem 2.23, and in the author's treatment of the Singular Value Decomposition (SVD), this kind of situation is illustrated beautifully, so I thought we'd digress, have some fun, and discover what went wrong in the author's treatment of the topic.

The SVD

- For a rank k matrix \mathbf{A} , of order $n \times p$, the *singular value decomposition*, or *SVD*, is a decomposition of A as

$$\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{U} \mathbf{D} \mathbf{V}' \quad (1)$$

where \mathbf{U} is $n \times k$, \mathbf{D} is $k \times k$, and \mathbf{V} is $p \times k$.

- Rencher goes on, as many authors do, to state that $\mathbf{D} = \text{diag}(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_k)$ consists of diagonal elements that are the square root of the non-zero eigenvalues of $\mathbf{A}\mathbf{A}'$, and \mathbf{U} and \mathbf{V} are normalized eigenvectors of $\mathbf{A}\mathbf{A}'$ and $\mathbf{A}'\mathbf{A}$, respectively, so that, of course, $\mathbf{U}'\mathbf{U} = \mathbf{V}'\mathbf{V} = \mathbf{I}$.
- This would seem to furnish several easy ways to compute the SVD of \mathbf{A} . For example, the most direct might seem to be to follow Rencher's prescription exactly, using an eigenvalue routine.
- We are fortunate, because R has a routine `svd()` that will provide us with a correct SVD solution.
- Let's try it on problem 2.23 in Rencher.

The SVD

- For a rank k matrix \mathbf{A} , of order $n \times p$, the *singular value decomposition*, or *SVD*, is a decomposition of A as

$$\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{U} \mathbf{D} \mathbf{V}' \quad (1)$$

where \mathbf{U} is $n \times k$, \mathbf{D} is $k \times k$, and \mathbf{V} is $p \times k$.

- Rencher goes on, as many authors do, to state that $\mathbf{D} = \text{diag}(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_k)$ consists of diagonal elements that are the square root of the non-zero eigenvalues of $\mathbf{A} \mathbf{A}'$, and \mathbf{U} and \mathbf{V} are normalized eigenvectors of $\mathbf{A} \mathbf{A}'$ and $\mathbf{A}' \mathbf{A}$, respectively, so that, of course, $\mathbf{U}' \mathbf{U} = \mathbf{V}' \mathbf{V} = \mathbf{I}$.
- This would seem to furnish several easy ways to compute the SVD of \mathbf{A} . For example, the most direct might seem to be to follow Rencher's prescription exactly, using an eigenvalue routine.
- We are fortunate, because R has a routine `svd()` that will provide us with a correct SVD solution.
- Let's try it on problem 2.23 in Rencher.

The SVD

- For a rank k matrix \mathbf{A} , of order $n \times p$, the *singular value decomposition*, or *SVD*, is a decomposition of A as

$$\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{U} \mathbf{D} \mathbf{V}' \quad (1)$$

where \mathbf{U} is $n \times k$, \mathbf{D} is $k \times k$, and \mathbf{V} is $p \times k$.

- Rencher goes on, as many authors do, to state that $\mathbf{D} = \text{diag}(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_k)$ consists of diagonal elements that are the square root of the non-zero eigenvalues of $\mathbf{A} \mathbf{A}'$, and \mathbf{U} and \mathbf{V} are normalized eigenvectors of $\mathbf{A} \mathbf{A}'$ and $\mathbf{A}' \mathbf{A}$, respectively, so that, of course, $\mathbf{U}' \mathbf{U} = \mathbf{V}' \mathbf{V} = \mathbf{I}$.
- This would seem to furnish several easy ways to compute the SVD of \mathbf{A} . For example, the most direct might seem to be to follow Rencher's prescription exactly, using an eigenvalue routine.
- We are fortunate, because R has a routine `svd()` that will provide us with a correct SVD solution.
- Let's try it on problem 2.23 in Rencher.

The SVD

- For a rank k matrix \mathbf{A} , of order $n \times p$, the *singular value decomposition*, or *SVD*, is a decomposition of A as

$$\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{U} \mathbf{D} \mathbf{V}' \quad (1)$$

where \mathbf{U} is $n \times k$, \mathbf{D} is $k \times k$, and \mathbf{V} is $p \times k$.

- Rencher goes on, as many authors do, to state that $\mathbf{D} = \text{diag}(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_k)$ consists of diagonal elements that are the square root of the non-zero eigenvalues of $\mathbf{A}\mathbf{A}'$, and \mathbf{U} and \mathbf{V} are normalized eigenvectors of $\mathbf{A}\mathbf{A}'$ and $\mathbf{A}'\mathbf{A}$, respectively, so that, of course, $\mathbf{U}'\mathbf{U} = \mathbf{V}'\mathbf{V} = \mathbf{I}$.
- This would seem to furnish several easy ways to compute the SVD of \mathbf{A} . For example, the most direct might seem to be to follow Rencher's prescription exactly, using an eigenvalue routine.
- We are fortunate, because R has a routine `svd()` that will provide us with a correct SVD solution.
- Let's try it on problem 2.23 in Rencher.

The SVD

- For a rank k matrix \mathbf{A} , of order $n \times p$, the *singular value decomposition*, or *SVD*, is a decomposition of A as

$$\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{U} \mathbf{D} \mathbf{V}' \quad (1)$$

where \mathbf{U} is $n \times k$, \mathbf{D} is $k \times k$, and \mathbf{V} is $p \times k$.

- Rencher goes on, as many authors do, to state that $\mathbf{D} = \text{diag}(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_k)$ consists of diagonal elements that are the square root of the non-zero eigenvalues of $\mathbf{A} \mathbf{A}'$, and \mathbf{U} and \mathbf{V} are normalized eigenvectors of $\mathbf{A} \mathbf{A}'$ and $\mathbf{A}' \mathbf{A}$, respectively, so that, of course, $\mathbf{U}' \mathbf{U} = \mathbf{V}' \mathbf{V} = \mathbf{I}$.
- This would seem to furnish several easy ways to compute the SVD of \mathbf{A} . For example, the most direct might seem to be to follow Rencher's prescription exactly, using an eigenvalue routine.
- We are fortunate, because R has a routine `svd()` that will provide us with a correct SVD solution.
- Let's try it on problem 2.23 in Rencher.

An Example

```
> A <- matrix(c(4,7,-1,8,-5,-2,4,2,-1,3,-3,6),4,3)
> A
```

```
      [,1] [,2] [,3]
[1,]    4  -5  -1
[2,]    7  -2   3
[3,]   -1   4  -3
[4,]    8   2   6
```

```
> svd1 <- svd(A)
> svd1
```

```
$d
[1] 13.161210  6.999892  3.432793
```

```
$u
      [,1]      [,2]      [,3]
[1,] -0.2816569  0.7303849 -0.42412326
[2,] -0.5912537  0.1463017 -0.18371213
[3,]  0.2247823 -0.4040717 -0.88586638
[4,] -0.7214994 -0.5309048  0.04012567
```

```
$v
      [,1]      [,2]      [,3]
[1,] -0.8557101  0.01464091 -0.5172483
[2,]  0.1555269 -0.94610374 -0.2840759
[3,] -0.4935297 -0.32353262  0.8073135
```

An Example

```
> ## test it out
> ## note that d is provided as a vector
> ## so when computing  $UDV'$ , need to construct d
> svd1$u %*% diag(svd1$d) %*% t(svd1$v)
```

```
      [,1] [,2] [,3]
[1,]     4    -5    -1
[2,]     7    -2     3
[3,]    -1     4    -3
[4,]     8     2     6
```

- It worked perfectly. Now, let's try to reproduce the above SVD using the description in Rencher.

An Example

- We start by taking the eigendecomposition of $\mathbf{A}\mathbf{A}'$.

```
> decomp <- eigen(A %*% t(A))  
> decomp
```

\$values

```
[1] 1.732174e+02 4.899849e+01 1.178407e+01 8.047847e-15
```

\$vectors

```
          [,1]      [,2]      [,3]      [,4]  
[1,] -0.2816569  0.7303849 -0.42412326  0.4553316  
[2,] -0.5912537  0.1463017 -0.18371213 -0.7715340  
[3,]  0.2247823 -0.4040717 -0.88586638 -0.0379443  
[4,] -0.7214994 -0.5309048  0.04012567  0.4426835
```

- Remember that, because \mathbf{A} is only rank 3, we need to grab only the first 3 eigenvectors!

```
> U <- decomp$vectors[,1:3]
```

An Example

- Next we decompose $A'A$

```
> decomp <- eigen(t(A) %*% A)
```

```
> decomp
```

```
$values
```

```
[1] 173.21745  48.99849  11.78407
```

```
$vectors
```

```
          [,1]          [,2]          [,3]  
[1,]  0.8557101 -0.01464091 -0.5172483  
[2,] -0.1555269  0.94610374 -0.2840759  
[3,]  0.4935297  0.32353262  0.8073135
```

```
> V <- decomp$vectors
```

```
> D <- diag(sqrt(decomp$values[1:3]))
```

- We are all set to go!
- Let's try it out.

An Example

Oops!

```
> U %*% D %*% t(V)
```

| | [,1] | [,2] | [,3] |
|------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| [1,] | -2.493848 | 5.827188 | -1.350780 |
| [2,] | -6.347599 | 2.358302 | -4.018258 |
| [3,] | 4.145900 | -2.272253 | -1.910074 |
| [4,] | -8.142495 | -2.078259 | -5.777596 |

- Oops! This did not work. Why not?
- Let me be even more directive. Here is an approach that does work. We simply calculate V' a different way, that is, $V' = D^{-1}U'A$ and transpose the result.

An Example

Oops!

```
> Vprime <- solve(D) %*% t(U) %*% A
> U %*% D %*% Vprime
```

```
      [,1] [,2] [,3]
[1,]    4   -5   -1
[2,]    7   -2    3
[3,]   -1    4   -3
[4,]    8    2    6
```

```
> ##It worked!
> V <- t(Vprime)
```

- Why did one approach work, and the other not work? Try to solve the problem before looking at the following slides.

Non-Uniqueness of Eigenvectors

- When authors (like Rencher) speak of “the eigenvectors” of a symmetric matrix, they are mis-characterizing the situation.
- Eigenvectors are unique only up to a reflection, i.e., multiplication by ± 1 .
- Consider any $p \times k$ matrix \mathbf{X} .
- Define a *reflector matrix* \mathbf{R} as a diagonal matrix with all diagonal elements equal to ± 1 .

Non-Uniqueness of Eigenvectors

- When authors (like Rencher) speak of “the eigenvectors” of a symmetric matrix, they are mis-characterizing the situation.
- Eigenvectors are unique only up to a reflection, i.e., multiplication by ± 1 .
- Consider any $p \times k$ matrix X .
- Define a *reflector matrix* R as a diagonal matrix with all diagonal elements equal to ± 1 .

Non-Uniqueness of Eigenvectors

- When authors (like Rencher) speak of “the eigenvectors” of a symmetric matrix, they are mis-characterizing the situation.
- Eigenvectors are unique only up to a reflection, i.e., multiplication by ± 1 .
- Consider any $p \times k$ matrix \mathbf{X} .
- Define a *reflector matrix* \mathbf{R} as a diagonal matrix with all diagonal elements equal to ± 1 .

Non-Uniqueness of Eigenvectors

- When authors (like Rencher) speak of “the eigenvectors” of a symmetric matrix, they are mis-characterizing the situation.
- Eigenvectors are unique only up to a reflection, i.e., multiplication by ± 1 .
- Consider any $p \times k$ matrix \mathbf{X} .
- Define a *reflector matrix* \mathbf{R} as a diagonal matrix with all diagonal elements equal to ± 1 .

Non-Uniqueness of Eigenvectors

- Consider all the possible reflections $\mathbf{X}\mathbf{R}$ of the columns of \mathbf{X} .
- There are 2^{k-1} possible reflections of \mathbf{X} that are not equal to \mathbf{X} . Index the reflection by the specific reflector matrix \mathbf{R}_j .
- Now consider any nonsingular diagonal matrix \mathbf{D} . It is easy to verify that $\mathbf{R}_j\mathbf{D}\mathbf{R}_j = \mathbf{D}$ for any choice of the 2^{k-1} reflector matrices. Moreover, it is also the case that $\mathbf{D}^{-1}\mathbf{R}_j\mathbf{D} = \mathbf{R}_j$. On the other hand, for two different reflector matrices $\mathbf{R}_j, \mathbf{R}_k$, it will never be the case that $\mathbf{R}_k\mathbf{D}\mathbf{R}_j = \mathbf{D}$.
- So of course, if a symmetric matrix \mathbf{W} has an Eckart-Young decomposition $\mathbf{W} = \mathbf{V}\mathbf{D}\mathbf{V}'$, it is also the case that $\mathbf{W} = \mathbf{V}_j\mathbf{D}\mathbf{V}_j'$, where $\mathbf{V}_j = \mathbf{V}\mathbf{R}_j$, since $\mathbf{V}_j\mathbf{D}\mathbf{V}_j' = \mathbf{V}(\mathbf{R}_j\mathbf{D}\mathbf{R}_j)\mathbf{V}' = \mathbf{V}\mathbf{D}\mathbf{V}'$.
- Which specific \mathbf{V}_j is generated is a semi-random event that depends on precisely how the program generates the eigenvectors.

Non-Uniqueness of Eigenvectors

- Consider all the possible reflections $\mathbf{X}\mathbf{R}$ of the columns of \mathbf{X} .
- There are 2^{k-1} possible reflections of \mathbf{X} that are not equal to \mathbf{X} . Index the reflection by the specific reflector matrix \mathbf{R}_j .
- Now consider any nonsingular diagonal matrix \mathbf{D} . It is easy to verify that $\mathbf{R}_j\mathbf{D}\mathbf{R}_j = \mathbf{D}$ for any choice of the 2^{k-1} reflector matrices. Moreover, it is also the case that $\mathbf{D}^{-1}\mathbf{R}_j\mathbf{D} = \mathbf{R}_j$. On the other hand, for two different reflector matrices $\mathbf{R}_j, \mathbf{R}_k$, it will never be the case that $\mathbf{R}_k\mathbf{D}\mathbf{R}_j = \mathbf{D}$.
- So of course, if a symmetric matrix \mathbf{W} has an Eckart-Young decomposition $\mathbf{W} = \mathbf{V}\mathbf{D}\mathbf{V}'$, it is also the case that $\mathbf{W} = \mathbf{V}_j\mathbf{D}\mathbf{V}_j'$, where $\mathbf{V}_j = \mathbf{V}\mathbf{R}_j$, since $\mathbf{V}_j\mathbf{D}\mathbf{V}_j' = \mathbf{V}(\mathbf{R}_j\mathbf{D}\mathbf{R}_j)\mathbf{V}' = \mathbf{V}\mathbf{D}\mathbf{V}'$.
- Which specific \mathbf{V}_j is generated is a semi-random event that depends on precisely how the program generates the eigenvectors.

Non-Uniqueness of Eigenvectors

- Consider all the possible reflections $\mathbf{X}\mathbf{R}$ of the columns of \mathbf{X} .
- There are 2^{k-1} possible reflections of \mathbf{X} that are not equal to \mathbf{X} . Index the reflection by the specific reflector matrix \mathbf{R}_j .
- Now consider any nonsingular diagonal matrix \mathbf{D} . It is easy to verify that $\mathbf{R}_j\mathbf{D}\mathbf{R}_j = \mathbf{D}$ for any choice of the 2^{k-1} reflector matrices. Moreover, it is also the case that $\mathbf{D}^{-1}\mathbf{R}_j\mathbf{D} = \mathbf{R}_j$. On the other hand, for two different reflector matrices $\mathbf{R}_j, \mathbf{R}_k$, it will never be the case that $\mathbf{R}_k\mathbf{D}\mathbf{R}_j = \mathbf{D}$.
- So of course, if a symmetric matrix \mathbf{W} has an Eckart-Young decomposition $\mathbf{W} = \mathbf{V}\mathbf{D}\mathbf{V}'$, it is also the case that $\mathbf{W} = \mathbf{V}_j\mathbf{D}\mathbf{V}_j'$, where $\mathbf{V}_j = \mathbf{V}\mathbf{R}_j$, since $\mathbf{V}_j\mathbf{D}\mathbf{V}_j' = \mathbf{V}(\mathbf{R}_j\mathbf{D}\mathbf{R}_j)\mathbf{V}' = \mathbf{V}\mathbf{D}\mathbf{V}'$.
- Which specific \mathbf{V}_j is generated is a semi-random event that depends on precisely how the program generates the eigenvectors.

Non-Uniqueness of Eigenvectors

- Consider all the possible reflections $\mathbf{X}\mathbf{R}$ of the columns of \mathbf{X} .
- There are 2^{k-1} possible reflections of \mathbf{X} that are not equal to \mathbf{X} . Index the reflection by the specific reflector matrix \mathbf{R}_j .
- Now consider any nonsingular diagonal matrix \mathbf{D} . It is easy to verify that $\mathbf{R}_j\mathbf{D}\mathbf{R}_j = \mathbf{D}$ for any choice of the 2^{k-1} reflector matrices. Moreover, it is also the case that $\mathbf{D}^{-1}\mathbf{R}_j\mathbf{D} = \mathbf{R}_j$. On the other hand, for two different reflector matrices $\mathbf{R}_j, \mathbf{R}_k$, it will never be the case that $\mathbf{R}_k\mathbf{D}\mathbf{R}_j = \mathbf{D}$.
- So of course, if a symmetric matrix \mathbf{W} has an Eckart-Young decomposition $\mathbf{W} = \mathbf{V}\mathbf{D}\mathbf{V}'$, it is also the case that $\mathbf{W} = \mathbf{V}_j\mathbf{D}\mathbf{V}_j'$, where $\mathbf{V}_j = \mathbf{V}\mathbf{R}_j$, since $\mathbf{V}_j\mathbf{D}\mathbf{V}_j' = \mathbf{V}(\mathbf{R}_j\mathbf{D}\mathbf{R}_j)\mathbf{V}' = \mathbf{V}\mathbf{D}\mathbf{V}'$.
- Which specific \mathbf{V}_j is generated is a semi-random event that depends on precisely how the program generates the eigenvectors.

Non-Uniqueness of Eigenvectors

- Consider all the possible reflections $\mathbf{X}\mathbf{R}$ of the columns of \mathbf{X} .
- There are 2^{k-1} possible reflections of \mathbf{X} that are not equal to \mathbf{X} . Index the reflection by the specific reflector matrix \mathbf{R}_j .
- Now consider any nonsingular diagonal matrix \mathbf{D} . It is easy to verify that $\mathbf{R}_j\mathbf{D}\mathbf{R}_j = \mathbf{D}$ for any choice of the 2^{k-1} reflector matrices. Moreover, it is also the case that $\mathbf{D}^{-1}\mathbf{R}_j\mathbf{D} = \mathbf{R}_j$. On the other hand, for two different reflector matrices $\mathbf{R}_j, \mathbf{R}_k$, it will never be the case that $\mathbf{R}_k\mathbf{D}\mathbf{R}_j = \mathbf{D}$.
- So of course, if a symmetric matrix \mathbf{W} has an Eckart-Young decomposition $\mathbf{W} = \mathbf{V}\mathbf{D}\mathbf{V}'$, it is also the case that $\mathbf{W} = \mathbf{V}_j\mathbf{D}\mathbf{V}_j'$, where $\mathbf{V}_j = \mathbf{V}\mathbf{R}_j$, since $\mathbf{V}_j\mathbf{D}\mathbf{V}_j' = \mathbf{V}(\mathbf{R}_j\mathbf{D}\mathbf{R}_j)\mathbf{V}' = \mathbf{V}\mathbf{D}\mathbf{V}'$.
- Which specific \mathbf{V}_j is generated is a semi-random event that depends on precisely how the program generates the eigenvectors.

Solving the Mystery

- The SVD is not unique either.
- To see why, suppose that $A = U D V'$. Then clearly, $A = U_j D V_j'$, where $U_j = U R_j$ and $V_j = V R_j$. Note that the same R_j is applied to both matrices.
- For any valid pair U, V , there are 2^{k-1} other pairs of the form U_j, V_j .

Solving the Mystery

- The SVD is not unique either.
- To see why, suppose that $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{U}\mathbf{D}\mathbf{V}'$. Then clearly, $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{U}_j\mathbf{D}\mathbf{V}_j'$, where $\mathbf{U}_j = \mathbf{U}\mathbf{R}_j$ and $\mathbf{V}_j = \mathbf{V}\mathbf{R}_j$. Note that the same \mathbf{R}_j is applied to both matrices.
- For any valid pair \mathbf{U}, \mathbf{V} , there are 2^{k-1} other pairs of the form $\mathbf{U}_j, \mathbf{V}_j$.

Solving the Mystery

- The SVD is not unique either.
- To see why, suppose that $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{U}\mathbf{D}\mathbf{V}'$. Then clearly, $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{U}_j\mathbf{D}\mathbf{V}_j'$, where $\mathbf{U}_j = \mathbf{U}\mathbf{R}_j$ and $\mathbf{V}_j = \mathbf{V}\mathbf{R}_j$. Note that the same \mathbf{R}_j is applied to both matrices.
- For any valid pair \mathbf{U}, \mathbf{V} , there are 2^{k-1} other pairs of the form $\mathbf{U}_j, \mathbf{V}_j$.

Solving the Mystery

- Now we are in a position to see what can go wrong with the solution as described by Rencher. Suppose $A = UDV'$, for a specific U and V .
- When you take the eigendecomposition of AA' , all you can be sure of is that you obtained eigenvectors $U_j = UR_j$ for *some* R_j , with the identity matrix among the possibilities for R in this case, and eigenvalues D^2 . You can take square roots to obtain D , but your U may not be the same as the “correct” U .
- When you take the eigendecomposition of $A'A$, your VR_k may not be permuted from the “correct” V by the same R_j that permuted U . That is, R_j may not be equal to R_k . Suppose you follow Rencher’s directions. When you try to reconstitute A from your “solution” as $A = UR_jDR_kV'$, you will find it is incorrect (unless you are lucky and $R_j = R_k$).

Solving the Mystery

- Now we are in a position to see what can go wrong with the solution as described by Rencher. Suppose $A = UDV'$, for a specific U and V .
- When you take the eigendecomposition of AA' , all you can be sure of is that you obtained eigenvectors $U_j = UR_j$ for *some* R_j , with the identity matrix among the possibilities for R in this case, and eigenvalues D^2 . You can take square roots to obtain D , but your U may not be the same as the “correct” U .
- When you take the eigendecomposition of $A'A$, your VR_k may not be permuted from the “correct” V by the same R_j that permuted U . That is, R_j may not be equal to R_k . Suppose you follow Rencher’s directions. When you try to reconstitute A from your “solution” as $A = UR_jDR_kV'$, you will find it is incorrect (unless you are lucky and $R_j = R_k$).

Solving the Mystery

- Now we are in a position to see what can go wrong with the solution as described by Rencher. Suppose $A = UDV'$, for a specific U and V .
- When you take the eigendecomposition of AA' , all you can be sure of is that you obtained eigenvectors $U_j = UR_j$ for *some* R_j , with the identity matrix among the possibilities for R in this case, and eigenvalues D^2 . You can take square roots to obtain D , but your U may not be the same as the “correct” U .
- When you take the eigendecomposition of $A'A$, your VR_k may not be permuted from the “correct” V by the same R_j that permuted U . That is, R_j may not be equal to R_k . Suppose you follow Rencher’s directions. When you try to reconstitute A from your “solution” as $A = UR_jDR_kV'$, you will find it is incorrect (unless you are lucky and $R_j = R_k$).

Solving the Mystery

- On the other hand, suppose you have your $U_j = UR_j$ and the correct D . Then, if you compute V' as $V' = D^{-1}U'_jA$, you will obtain

$$V' = D^{-1}R_j(U'U)DV' \quad (2)$$

$$= (D^{-1}R_jD)V' \quad (3)$$

$$= R_jV' \quad (4)$$

since $U'U = I$, and $D^{-1}R_jD = R_j$.

- Notice now, with this approach, you obtain a U and V that have, in effect, been permuted by the same R_j , so regardless of which permutation the R_j was, this method will produce a correct solution.

Solving the Mystery

- On the other hand, suppose you have your $U_j = UR_j$ and the correct D . Then, if you compute V' as $V' = D^{-1}U'_jA$, you will obtain

$$V' = D^{-1}R_j(U'U)DV' \quad (2)$$

$$= (D^{-1}R_jD)V' \quad (3)$$

$$= R_jV' \quad (4)$$

since $U'U = I$, and $D^{-1}R_jD = R_j$.

- Notice now, with this approach, you obtain a U and V that have, in effect, been permuted by the same R_j , so regardless of which permutation the R_j was, this method will produce a correct solution.